

Sandy Bacik

From: Sandy Bacik
Sent: Wednesday, February 29, 2012 7:30 AM
To: csctgtesting@nist.gov; Vishant Shah
Subject: CSWG Testing & Certification minutes from 20120228

Our twiki site: <http://collaborate.nist.gov/twiki-sggrid/bin/view/SmartGrid/CSCTGTesting>

Co-Leads: Nelson Hastings (nelson.hastings@nist.gov), Sandy Bacik (sandy.bacik@enernex.com)

20120228 Minutes

1. Current tasks

- a. We reviewed the currently posted comments resolutions (https://collaborate.nist.gov/twiki-sggrid/pub/SmartGrid/CSCTGTesting/Assessment_Guide_Comments_and_Resolutions_2012012b.xls) and the current Assessment Guide (https://collaborate.nist.gov/twiki-sggrid/pub/SmartGrid/CSCTGTesting/NISTIR_7628_Assessment_Guide-v0p9-02112012.doc).
- b. The appendix comments were reviewed by Vicky as to the comment being editorial or context changing.
- c. For all changes to Appendix B, the same changes will need to be made to the companion spreadsheet.
- d. We started the review of the appendix comments and discussed consensus of them on the call:
 - i. Comment #67 had consensus on the call to accept the comment change.
 - ii. Comment #135 had consensus on the call to reject the change to examine and interview definitions and to accept the new last sentence as an example.
 - iii. Comment #68 had consensus on the call to accept the comment change.
 - iv. Comment #69 had consensus on the call to accept the comment change.
 - v. Comment #70 had consensus on the call to change the assessment objective wording of "shall be" to "are" as a global consistency change.
 - vi. Comment #71 had consensus on the call to accept the comment change.
 - vii. Comment #72 had consensus on the call to made globally consistent for all assessment objectives to use "; and" only on the objective just before the last objective of each requirement, all others should be removed.
 - viii. Comment #73 had consensus on the call to reject the comment to add the assessment method of test, because all the actions can be handled under the examine activities.
 - ix. Comment #74 had consensus on the call to reject the comment to add the assessment method of test, because all the actions can be handled under the examine activities.
 - x. Comment #75 had consensus on the call that all bulleting and spacing within Appendix B should be globally consistent.
 - xi. Comment #76 had consensus on the call to accept the comment change.
 - xii. Comment #77 had consensus on the call to accept the comment change.
 - xiii. Comment #78 had consensus on the call to accept the comment change.
 - xiv. Comment #79 had consensus on the call that we need to keep the statement and clarify to state that necessary agreements are in relation to telecommunications.
 - xv. Comment #80 had consensus on the call to reject the comment to add the assessment method of test, because all the actions can be handled under the examine activities.
 - xvi. Comment #81 had consensus on the call to accept the comment change.
 - xvii. Comment #82 had consensus on the call to remove the test assessment method and the assessment objective and assessment methods for SG.IA 1.2 need to be revisited.
 - xviii. Comment #83 had consensus on the call to reject the comment to add the assessment method of test, because all the actions can be handled under the examine activities.
 - xix. Comment #84 had consensus on the call to accept the comment change.
 - xx. Comment #85 had consensus on the call to accept the comment change.
 - xxi. Comment #86 had consensus on the call to remove the test assessment method because there is no real way to test these objectives.

- xxii. Comment #87 had consensus on the call to accept the comment change.
- xxiii. Comment #88 had consensus on the call to change the assessment objective wording of "shall be" to "are" as a global consistency change.

e. We will start the Appendix review with comment #115 on our next call.

2. Attendees

- a. Leonard Tillman
- b. Michaela Iorga
- c. Nelson Hastings
- d. Robert Sargent
- e. Sandy Bacik
- f. Scott Shorter
- g. Shrinath Eswarahally
- h. Vicky Pillitteri

Regards,

Sandy Bacik, CISSP, CISM, ISSMP, CGEIT

Principal Consultant

EnerNeX

p: 865.696.4470

e: sandy.bacik@enernex.com // www.enernex.com